Ordinance amending the Landmark Designation of 140 Maiden Lane (aka V. C. Morris Gift Shop), Assessor's Block No. 0309, Lot 019, under Planning Code, Article 10; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making environmental findings, findings of public necessity, convenience and welfare, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font. Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font. Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (*) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

(a) Pursuant to Section 4.135 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Historic Preservation Commission has authority "to recommend approval, disapproval, or modification of landmark designations and historic district designations under the Planning Code to the Board of Supervisors."

(b) On February 5, 1975, Ordinance No. 22-75 designated 140 Maiden Lane (aka V. C. Morris Gift Shop), Assessor's Block No. 0309, Lot 019, as Landmark No. 72. That Ordinance, which is incorporated herein by reference, required "that the said Landmark should be preserved generally in all of its particular exterior features," but did not list all the character-defining features that have to be preserved in any amount of detail. Moreover, it did
not include any of the interior character-defining features as part of the Landmark Designation.

(c) Planning Department staff Shannon Ferguson, who meets the Secretary of Interior's Professional Qualification Standards, prepared the Landmark Designation Report for 140 Maiden Lane, dated May 4, 2016, which was reviewed by Department staff Timothy Frye for accuracy and conformance with the purposes and standards of Article 10.

(d) The Historic Preservation Commission, at its regular meeting of May 4, 2016, reviewed Department staff’s analysis of 140 Maiden Lane’s historical significance per Article 10 as part of the Landmark Designation Case Report dated May 4, 2016.

(e) On May 4, 2016, the Historic Preservation Commission passed Resolution No. 761, initiating an amendment of the Landmark Designation for 140 Maiden Lane (aka V. C. Morris Gift Shop), Assessor’s Block No. 0309, Lot 019, pursuant to Section 1004.1 of the San Francisco Planning Code. Such motion is on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 160821 and incorporated herein by reference.

(f) On June 1, 2016, after holding a public hearing on the proposed amendment of the Landmark Designation and having considered the specialized analyses prepared by Planning Department staff and the Landmark Designation Case Report, the Historic Preservation Commission recommended approval of the proposed amendment to the Landmark Designation of 140 Maiden Lane (aka V. C. Morris Gift Shop), Assessor’s Block No. 0309, Lot 019, in Resolution No. 763. Such resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 160821.

(g) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, the Board of Supervisors finds that the proposed amendment to the Landmark Designation of 140 Maiden Lane (aka V. C. Morris Gift Shop), Assessor’s Block No. 0309, Lot 019, will serve the public necessity, convenience and welfare, for the following reasons:
(A) The 140 Maiden Lane Landmark Designation Report, prepared by Planning Department staff and submitted to the Historic Preservation Commission, is in the form prescribed by the Historic Preservation Commission and contains supporting historic, architectural, and/or cultural documentation;

(B) 140 Maiden Lane is significant for its architecture and as the work of master architect Frank Lloyd Wright;

(D) 140 Maiden Street meets the eligibility requirements per Section 1004 of the Planning Code and warrants consideration for Article 10 landmark designation; and

(E) The boundaries and the list of exterior and interior character-defining features, as identified in the Landmark Designation Report, should be considered for preservation under the proposed landmark designation as they relate to the building’s historical significance and retain historical integrity.

(h) The Board finds that the proposed amendment to the Landmark Designation of 140 Maiden Lane (aka V. C. Morris Gift Shop), Assessor’s Block No. 0309, Lot 019, is consistent with the San Francisco General Plan and with Planning Code Section 101.1(b) for the reasons set forth in Resolution No. 763, recommending approval of the proposed amendment of the Landmark Designation, which is incorporated herein by reference.

(i) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this Ordinance are in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code section 21000 et seq., "CEQA"). Specifically, the Planning Department has determined the proposed Planning Code amendment is subject to a Categorical Exemption from CEQA pursuant to Section 15308 of the Guidelines for Implementation of the statute for actions by regulatory agencies for protection of the environment (specifically in this case, landmark designation). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 160821 and is incorporated herein by reference.
The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that 140 Maiden Lane (aka V. C. Morris Gift Shop), Assessor’s Block No. 0309, Lot 019, has a special character and special historical, architectural, and aesthetic interest and value, and that this amendment to its Landmark Designation will further the purposes of and conform to the standards set forth in Article 10 of the San Francisco Planning Code.

Section 2: Designation. Pursuant to Section 1004 of the Planning Code, the Landmark Designation for 140 Maiden Lane (aka V. C. Morris Gift Shop), Assessor’s Block No. 0309, Lot 019 under Article 10 of the Planning Code is hereby amended.

Section 3. Required Data.

(a) The description, location, and boundary of the Landmark site consists of the City parcel located at 140 Maiden Lane (aka V. C. Morris Gift Shop), Assessor’s Block No. 0309, Lot 019, in San Francisco’s Downtown area.

(b) The characteristics of the Landmark that justify its designation are described and shown in the Landmark Designation Case Report and other supporting materials contained in Planning Department Case Docket No. 2015-0071810TH. In brief, 140 Maiden Lane (aka V. C. Morris Gift Shop), Assessor’s Block No. 0309, Lot 019, is eligible for local designation under National Register of Historic Places Criterion C (as it embodies distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, conveys high artistic values, and represents the work of a master architect). Specifically, amendment to the designation of the V. C. Morris Gift Shop is proper as the building, both the exterior and interior, is significant for its architecture and as the work of master architect Frank Lloyd Wright.

Wright’s design for the V. C. Morris Gift Shop breaks the rules of conventional department store design. Instead of a visually open storefront and open floor plan, the
building's solid brick façade with narrow arched tunnel entrance gives no indication of the interior's double-height, mezzanine-ringed, top-lit circular interior space with distinct sales areas. It is the first building to be constructed using what became his favorite structural shape, the spiral, which dominated his work throughout his final years. The façade of the V. C. Morris Gift Shop was also the first time Wright incorporated the Romanesque arch in five decades, a design motif which he had often used in his early work.

Frank Lloyd Wright is by far the most well-known and influential American architect. Although Wright produced several designs for other buildings in San Francisco, the V. C. Morris Gift Shop is the only one that was realized. The V. C. Morris Gift Shop is also significant as a rare extant Modern building designed by the master architect.

(c) The particular features that shall be preserved, or replaced in-kind as determined necessary, are those generally shown in photographs and described in the Landmark Designation Case Report, which can be found in Planning Department Docket No. 2015-0071810TH, and which are incorporated in this designation by reference as though fully set forth. Specifically, the following features shall be preserved or replaced in kind:

(1) The exterior elevation facing Maiden Lane, including but not limited to form, massing, structure, architectural ornament and materials, and identified as:

(A) Rectangular building plan and boxy, stout massing;
(B) Nearly flat, windowless façade;
(C) Vertical band of raised bricks with illuminated voids;
(D) Arched opening with four concentric bands of stretcher course bricks;
(E) White translucent squares with raised key design below horizontal band of coping;
(F) Recessed barrel vaulted entry with curved glass, planter and flush wall sign at entrance arch;
(G) Buff colored stretcher brick cladding; and
(H) Flat roof with two ridge type skylights.

(2) The character-defining interior features of the building at 140 Maiden Lane, which have historically been accessible to the public, include:

(A) Two-story volume;
(B) Curved interior walls;
(C) Recessed barrel vaulted entry with curved glass and display shelf;
(D) Carpeted spiral ramp with circular wall openings and niches;
(E) Acrylic plastic concave and convex domes held by brass tubing at ceiling;
(F) Brass hanging planter;
(G) Built-in mahogany shelves, cabinets, and benches;
(H) Rough textured cement plaster wall cladding painted a light neutral color; and
(I) Rectangular and square concrete floor slabs laid in an irregular pattern on the first floor and carpet at the second floor
(J) Oval light fixtures on the first floor
(K) Pneumatic tube at first and second floors.

Section 4. The property shall be subject to further controls and procedures pursuant to the San Francisco Planning Code and Article 10.

Section 5. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor’s veto of the ordinance.
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n:\land\ias2016\0900449\01141716.docx

Supervisor Peskin
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Ordinance amending the Landmark Designation of 140 Maiden Lane (aka V. C. Morris Gift Shop), Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0309, Lot No. 019, under Planning Code, Article 10; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making environmental findings, findings of public necessity, convenience and welfare, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

October 24, 2016 Land Use and Transportation Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

October 24, 2016 Land Use and Transportation Committee - RECOMMENDED AS AMENDED

November 01, 2016 Board of Supervisors - PASSED, ON FIRST READING
Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Breed, Campos, Cohen, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Peskin, Tang, Wiener and Yee

November 15, 2016 Board of Supervisors - FINALLY PASSED
Ayes: 9 - Avalos, Breed, Campos, Cohen, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Peskin and Tang
Excused: 2 - Wiener and Yee

File No. 160821

I hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on 11/15/2016 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

Mayor

Date Approved

11/22/2016
V. C. Morris Gift Shop
140 Maiden Lane

Initiated by the Historic Preservation Commission, May 4, 2016
Approved by the Board of Supervisors, November 15, 2016
Signed by Mayor Edwin M. Lee, November 22, 2016

Landmark No. 72
Table of Contents

Overview ......................................................................................................................................................................................... 3
Building Description ........................................................................................................................................................................... 4
Construction History ....................................................................................................................................................................... 6
Master Architect: Frank Lloyd Wright ...................................................................................................................................... 10
Post-War Commercial Development ......................................................................................................................................... 13
V. C. Morris Building In Context ............................................................................................................................................... 13
Kearny-Market-Mason-Sutter Conservation District .............................................................................................................. 16
Statement of Significance ............................................................................................................................................................ 20
Boundaries of the Landmark Site .............................................................................................................................................. 22
Character-Defining Features ...................................................................................................................................................... 22
Appendix: Morris House Designs ............................................................................................................................................. 25
Bibliography ................................................................................................................................................................................. 27
Acknowledgments ....................................................................................................................................................................... 29

The Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) is a seven-member body that makes recommendations to the Board of Supervisors regarding the designation of landmark buildings and districts. The regulations governing landmarks and landmark districts are found in Article 10 of the Planning Code. The HPC is staffed by the San Francisco Planning Department.

Cover photographs: Top: San Francisco Public Library; Bottom center: Courtesy of Paul Turner; Bottom right: Maynard Parker Collection, Huntington Library.
V. C. Morris Gift Shop
140 Maiden Lane

Built: 1949
Architect: Frank Lloyd Wright

OVERVIEW

The exterior of the V. C. Morris Gift Shop located at 140 Maiden Lane was designated as San Francisco City Landmark No. 72 in 1975. This landmark designation report amends the previous designation to include the interior, which was not designated at that time.

The V. C. Morris building, both the exterior and interior, is significant for its architecture and as the work of master architect Frank Lloyd Wright. Wright’s design for the V. C. Morris Gift Shop breaks the rules of conventional department store design. Instead of a visually open storefront and open floor plan, the building’s solid brick façade with narrow arched tunnel entrance gives no indication of the interior’s double-height, mezzanine-ringed, top-lit circular interior space with distinct sales areas. The V. C. Morris Shop represents Wright’s conscious departure from the formal principles of modern shop design resulting in a building so different from typical shops that it instantly attracted the attention and praise of architectural critics in the United States and Europe. It is the first building to be constructed using what became his favorite structural shape, the spiral, which dominated his work throughout his final years. The V. C. Morris Gift Shop was also the first time Wright incorporated the Romanesque arch in five decades, a design motif which he had often used in his early work.

Frank Lloyd Wright is by far the most well-known and influential American architect. His personal aesthetic and design theories on architectural form influenced the development of Modern architecture in the United States and in Europe. His work helped spawn a new design aesthetic that addressed the natural environment, contained minimal superfluous ornamentation, and emphasized function, flexibility, and an honest expression of a building’s structural frame. Although Wright produced several designs for other buildings in San Francisco, the V. C. Morris Gift Shop is the only one that was realized. The V. C. Morris Gift Shop is also significant as a rare extant Modern building designed by the master architect.
BUILDING DESCRIPTION

Overview
140 Maiden Lane is a two-story, wood frame and brick building with a rectangular plan and boxy massing. The flat roof contains two ridge type skylights with gabled ends running north to south.

South (Primary) Façade

Clad in thin, buff colored Roman type brick, the façade reads as completely flat brick plane. However, most of the façade actually projects slightly from the surrounding surface. The projecting surface is edged with top and bottom with buff colored stone, as is the cornice of the building. Beneath the bottom band of stone is a row of small square lights molded with a Greek key pattern. At the left side of the facade is a vertical band with alternating bricks missing, suggesting a zipper-like pattern. The voids are illuminated at night, providing a pattern of light that marches down the façade. An asymmetrical arched entrance with four slightly recessed bands of brick voussoirs leads into a barrel vaulted entry tunnel that is brick on the left and glass on the right ending in a planter box capped with stone.

Remaining elevations are obscured by adjacent buildings.
**Interior**

The façade conceals a circular inner volume behind its simple windowless wall of brickwork. The barrel vaulted tunnel continues inside. Within the two-story space, Curved walls plastered in rough textured concrete contain a spiral ramp that ascends to the circular mezzanine. Circular niches and openings in the walls follow the curve of the ramp. Convex and concave acrylic plastic bubbles cover the skylights. Built in mahogany shelves, cabinets and benches follow the curving plan. A brass planter hangs from the ceiling and the floor is covered rectangular and square concrete floor slabs laid in an irregular pattern.

*Views of the interior (2015).*
CONSTRUCTION HISTORY

The original two-story plus basement, wood frame, and brick building at 140 Maiden Lane was constructed in 1911. It was designed by J.E. Krafft & Sons,1 a local architectural and engineering firm. The building contained two shops with large plate glass windows on the ground floor and a loft space for storage that was lit by skylights and a band of tall continuous windows facing the street. It was remodeled in 1937 by local firm Williams & Grimes2. The ground floor became a single space with a centered door flanked by plate glass windows on a terra cotta clad bulkhead. The second floor windows remained unchanged and the remaining visible façade was plastered and painted.

About 1937, V. C. Morris and wife Lillian moved their tableware and antiques shop to 140 Maiden Lane. Sometime in 1946 or 1947, V. C. Morris asked Frank Lloyd Wright to design a remodel for the building. Wright adapted the circular plan of the Solomon R. Guggenheim Museum (designed in 1945 and completed in 1959) to the building at 140 Maiden Lane. The drawings were done in 1948 and construction was completed early the following year. Wright’s son-in-law, Wesley Peters, was in charge of the project, but Wright made many trips to San Francisco to check on its progress.3

1 Building permit application #3612, June 27, 1911.
2 Building permit application #24729, February 11, 1937.
Wright opened up the existing two story space inserting a circular inner volume within the tight constraints of the existing building located on a narrow lot. The mezzanine and spiral ramp – both made of reinforced concrete - is defined by the rectangular volume of the building. The small circular illuminated openings along the ramp’s walls allowed a continuous display of merchandise.

To mask the 30 x 15 foot skylights, Wright designed a “mosaic screen” of flash glass (glass overlaid with layers of white glass giving it an opaque or opalescent quality) that was ultimately not allowed by the building department because of building code issues. Instead a local firm fabricated the 24 large concave dome and 96 convex domes from sheets of acrylic plastic. The smaller domes were free blown and the larger domes had to be formed from four sections cemented together. All of the plastic parts were coated with an anti-static coating to resist attracting dust. The domes are held in brass tubing and are suspended from the ceiling beneath the original skylights. The color of the domes changes depending on the quality of light, occasionally changing to a sky blue. At night the fluorescent lighting makes the domes glow. Other plastic installations included acrylic shelves that rest on solid lathe turned spheres of the same material imbedded with bronze, a large hemispheric bowl that held aquatic plants and fish, and a globe shaped vase that held flowers.4

The display fixtures throughout the store were designed and built by Manuel Sandoval, a Nicaraguan woodworker who joined the Taliesin Fellowship in October 1932 to study architecture, until Wright discovered his woodworking talents. Sandoval was responsible for the swamp cypress plywood cabinets and carpentry in Edgar Kaufman’s office in Pittsburg that are now housed in the Victoria & Albert Museum in London.5 For the V. C. Morris shop, Sandoval fashioned low comfortable stools, tables, and built in shelving in rich mahogany wood with curved forms. The merchandise was laid out on these counters, cases and shelves following the curvilinear plan. The tabletops were used by shop patrons to “experiment with combination of silver, glass and china or… consider and study an object of art in relation to their home or as a suitable gift.”6

---

4 “China and Gift Shop By Frank Lloyd Wright for V. C. Morris, Maiden Lane, San Francisco, California” Architectural Forum (February 1950).
6 Architectural Forum.
After the Morrises remodeled the shop, some 500 to 1,500 people a day came to see it, with one in ten buying something.\textsuperscript{7} Not only did the Morrises have a larger national business than they had ever had before, but many of San Francisco’s elite purchased their fine china, crystal, glass and objet d’arts there.

In 1959 the V. C. Morris Gift Shop was designated by the American Institute of Architects as one of seventeen American buildings designed by Wright to be preserved as an example of Wright’s architectural contribution to American culture. In 1998 the building was identified by a National Historic Landmarks (NHL) program study as one of Frank Lloyd Wright’s most significant buildings and was proposed for NHL designation.

\textsuperscript{7} Architectural Forum.
Alteration History

140 Maiden Lane has undergone very few alterations since it was remodeled in 1949. A non-loadbearing wall was removed in the basement and cabinet work was remodeled in 1972.\(^8\) The parapet was braced in 1977.\(^9\) New stairs to the basement were added in 1983\(^10\) and the roof and skylight were replaced in 1985.\(^11\) In 1997 an elevator was installed, a bathroom was renovated and a power assist button added to the door.\(^12\) In 1998 the interior was restored by Aaron Green, a former protégé of Wright.\(^13\) Building permits were not found to confirm this work. Seismic retrofit was completed in 2002, stabilizing the brick façade.\(^14\) The building appears to retain nearly all of its original Wright designed features, with the exception of some of the cabinet work.

Ownership & Occupant History

Ownership records prior to 1917 could not be located. In July 1917 Percy and Adeline Towne sold the property to May E. Bridge. It was owned by the Bridge family until 1941 when it was sold to Francis P. Farquhar. In 1965 the building was bought by Anton Marguleas. Raymond Handley bought the building in 1997. Marsha Handley became the owner in 2010. The building is currently owned by Downtown Properties IV, LLC.

According to Sanborn maps, the building was occupied by a restaurant prior to the Morrises occupancy. After Vere and Lillian Morris passed away in 1957 and 1959 respectively, their shop was purchased in 1960 by Allan Adler, a famed silversmith.\(^15\) Adler was known as the “silversmith to the stars” for his celebrity clientele that ranged from Errol Flynn to Michael Jackson and Presidents John F Kennedy and Dwight D. Eisenhower. In the 1940s Miss USA and Miss Universe organizations commission crowns and he designed mini Oscars for Academy Award winners. His hand hammered work consisted of silverware, hollowware and jewelry in unadorned, geometric shapes inspired by the Modernist art movement and some of his work is now held in the Museum of Modern Art.\(^16\) Adler had eponymously named shops in La Jolla and Corona del Mar. However, he left the name of his new San Francisco shop at 140 Maiden Lane unchanged.

In 1968 an art gallery run by Reese Pally and known as the Edward Marshall Boehm Gallery moved in. By 1979 the building was occupied by a women’s clothing shop, Helga Howie. This occupant removed many of the Wright designed fixtures, cataloguing and recording their original locations on blueprint plans before storing them.\(^17\) While a few of the moveable fixtures remain, it is unknown what has happened to the remaining moveable fixtures or where they were stored. Marsha Handley ran a gallery called Xanadu Gallery until June 2015.

---

\(^8\) Building permit #408104, April 14, 1972.
\(^9\) Building permit #7712127, November 7, 1977.
\(^10\) Building permit #8304324, May 16, 1983.
\(^12\) Building permit #9706284, April 8, 1997.
\(^13\) Coming Full Circle: Architect Aaron Green has revived local masterpiece by Frank Lloyd Wright,” San Francisco Examiner, July 26, 1998.
\(^14\) Building permit #200201227411, June 19, 2002.
\(^15\) “Silversmith Buys Store; New Shop for Maiden Lane, San Francisco Chronicle, November 11, 1960, 42.
\(^16\) Mary Rourke, “Allan Adler, 86; Crafted Beauty Queens’ Crowns, Silver Pieces for the Stars,” Los Angeles Times, December 5, 2002.
\(^17\) SF Progress, January 26, 1979.
MASTER ARCHITECT: FRANK LLOYD WRIGHT

Pioneering Modern architect Frank Lloyd Wright influenced the development of Modern architecture in the United States and in Europe. Wright grew up in Wisconsin, and at the age of 20 moved to Chicago to work at various architecture firms, including that of his mentor Louis Sullivan. His time in Sullivan and Dankmar Adler’s office not only exposed him to some of architecture’s most current and bold advances, but also allowed him to develop a personal aesthetic and theories on architectural form. Here, he established his passion for organic, functional forms that he felt linked his architecture to an American idealism and identity through its democratic rationality. Wright opened his own firm in Oak Park, Illinois, in 1893. For the next seven years he would develop the concepts behind the Prairie School of architecture.

From 1911 through 1932, Wright built and rebuilt his house in Green Spring, Wisconsin, which burnt down twice. His Taliesin Fellowship was based there; apprentices studied architecture under Wright through interdisciplinary courses and hands-on experience at the ever-changing Taliesin site. In 1937, he built Taliesin West in Arizona, which would serve as his summer home and a second campus for the Taliesin Fellows.

Frank Lloyd Wright is by far the most well-known and influential American architect. His tumultuous 75-year career evolved from the early Prairie House period (1900-1909) to the 1920s Mayan-inspired concrete block residences to conceptual plans for the 1930s Broadacre City. Throughout, Wright was staunchly anti-urban and a proponent of the Jeffersonian ideal, that as expressed through his Usonian house designs favored single-family houses set in the natural environment. As such his buildings (and legacy) are rooted largely in residential landscapes.

Architecture critic Martin Filler argued that Wright was central to, yet “estranged from Modernism.” Wright favored natural materials, craftsmanship, and traditional methods, though he also experimented with new materials and technology. Filler describes Wright’s buildings as machines that took on a human aspect. His lengthy career was marked by precipitous setbacks and comebacks. Initiator of the Midwestern Prairie Style, Wright legacy includes the introduction of flowing interior open-plan spaces and the concept of organic architecture. Wright’s Robie House (1909), located in Chicago, features key elements characteristic of the Prairie Style, which include strong horizontal planes; low-pitched hipped roofs with broad, projecting eaves; an open-plan interior layout; and a sprawling, low-
slung horizontal orientation. Iterations of Prairie Style houses are found in Berkeley and Oakland, but are rare in San Francisco. By the 1920s, Wright’s design sensibilities and geographic influence shifted dramatically as he focused on interlocking, textile concrete block Mayan Revival residences in Los Angeles.

Despite his earlier acclaim, Wright’s popularity waned in the 1920s and he was largely ignored in the influential 1932 MoMa exhibition “Modern Architecture: International Exhibition.” His most renowned works, including “Falling Water” (1934-1937) and the Johnson Wax Building (1936-1939) – arguably the apex of his career – were designed when Wright was in his sixties. With over 500 designs built, Wright has left a lasting legacy on the American landscape.

Frank Lloyd Wright’s work and design theories influenced generations of architects across the United States and helped spawn a new design aesthetic that addressed the natural environment, contained minimal superfluous ornamentation, and emphasized function, flexibility, and an honest expression of a building’s structural frame. More important is the legacy of Wright’s disciples, members of the Taliesin Fellowship who are among the key architects of Modern design. Taliesin Fellows with works in the San Francisco Bay Area include Frederick Langhorst, Mark Mills, and Richard Neutra.

Of the approximately 300 extant buildings designed by Wright, the V. C. Morris Gift Shop is the only one located in San Francisco. Wright proposed other structures for San Francisco, including a skyscraper for the Press Club in 1920, a house for V. C. Morris in 1945, a mortuary in 1948 and a concrete “butterfly” bridge between San Francisco and the East Bay in 1949, but none were realized except the V. C. Morris Gift Shop.

V. C. Morris

Vere Conover Morris and his wife Lillian Isaacs Morris operated their gift shop at 140 Maiden Lane for 22 years. Vere Conover Morris was born in the town of Brighton, Ohio on February 2, 1883 to David E. Morris and Clara Bachus. By 1900 the Morris family, including brothers Merle J. and Clifford D., was living in Brick Township, County of Ocean, New Jersey. 17-year old Vere, an 8th grade graduate, worked as a news dealer. By 1910, Vere had moved to Brooklyn, New York and was living in a boarding house and working as a wallpaper salesman. Vere took his first trip to England in 1914, listing his profession as an importer and two years later he worked as a salesman for the F.J. Emmerich Co. In 1917, Vere was a business manager in a photography studio. Later he was an instructor at the Parsons School of Design in New York.

Lillian Isaacs was born in Oakland on July 10, 1887. Her father, John D. Isaacs was an engineer for Southern Pacific Company. As a young woman, Lillian was considered one of the most beautiful “society belles” in the Bay Area, and in 1907 was the subject of a story in the San Francisco Call, saying the “smart set” would be losing a “prominent leader” because her father was being transferred to Chicago. About 1912, the Isaacs family moved to New York. During this time, Lillian and her mother frequently visited San Francisco, often staying for the entire winter. Lillian met Vere when she attended his lecture at Parsons in New York. She often told friends that she had first fallen in love

---

20 Ohio Births and Christenings Index, 1800-1962.
21 United States Census, 1900.
22 United States Census, 1910.
23 UK, Outward Passenger Lists, July 18, 1914.
24 New York City Directories, 1916.
25 World War I Draft Registration Cards, 1917-1918
with his speaking voice.\textsuperscript{28} Vere and Lillian were married in Manhattan, New York in 1918.\textsuperscript{29} In the early years of their marriage, he worked as a car salesman while she stayed at home.\textsuperscript{30}

About 1927, Vere and Lillian moved to San Francisco. They rented space at the back of a bookstore at 434 Post Street, selling antiques.\textsuperscript{31} They relocated the shop to 517 Sutter Street about 1932 where they sold art goods.\textsuperscript{32} Business picked up in the 1930s, and about 1937, the shop moved to 140 Maiden Lane.\textsuperscript{33} The building had previously been occupied by a restaurant. Although the street had a bad reputation in those days, the Morrises saw that a florist and a few other more respectable shops were already established there. Vere and Lillian ran their shop at 140 Maiden Lane until approximately 1955.\textsuperscript{34} Vere died in 1957 and Lillian died in 1959.\textsuperscript{35}

Lillian and Vere Morris first met Frank Lloyd Wright at a lecture he gave in Palo Alto in 1944.\textsuperscript{36} Afterwards, the three began a friendly relationship that would last for over twelve years. The Morrises stayed with Wright at Taliesin in Wisconsin and Taliesin West in Arizona many times and Wright visited the Morrises in San Francisco, even staying at the Mark Hopkins Hotel as their guest in 1947. Not long after their first meeting, Wright began producing house designs for the Morrises (See Appendix for discussion of Wright’s house designs for the Morrises). Ultimately he designed four houses for them, but unfortunately none were executed. Author Neil Levine notes that it is “important to stress the comprehensive and synesthetic naturalism of the [Seacliff] house in order to appreciate fully the contrast with the design of the couple’s downtown store as a response to a completely different urban context.”\textsuperscript{37}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{V_C_Morris_House_Seacliff_Scheme_1_1945.jpg}
\caption{V. C. Morris House, “Seacliff,” Scheme 1, 1945.}  
\textit{Source: Frank Lloyd Wright The Complete Works.}
\end{figure}

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{28} Millie Robbins, “A Jewel on Maiden Lane,” 21.
\item \textsuperscript{29} New York Marriage Index, June 24, 1918.
\item \textsuperscript{30} United States Census, 1920.
\item \textsuperscript{31} San Francisco City Directories, 1927; Millie Robbins, “A Jewel on Maiden Lane,” 21.
\item \textsuperscript{32} San Francisco City Directories, 1932.
\item \textsuperscript{33} Millie Robbins, “A Jewel on Maiden Lane,” 21.
\item \textsuperscript{34} San Francisco City Directories, 1955.
\item \textsuperscript{35} California Death Index.
\item \textsuperscript{36} Millie Robbins, “A Jewel on Maiden Lane,” 21.
\item \textsuperscript{37} Neil Levine, \textit{The Architecture of Frank Lloyd Wright} (New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 1996), 368. See Appendix for more information on Wright’s house designs for Lillian and Vere Morris.
\end{itemize}
Frank Lloyd Wright designed the V. C. Morris Gift Shop during the post-World War II building boom in the United States. This boom stimulated both residential and commercial construction and coincided with a surge in consumer spending. Described as "the greatest onslaught of consumerism ever," the exponential increase in pent-up consumer spending resulted in increased competition and the practical desire for eye-catching, fashionable storefronts. Storefront design from the mid-1940s and up into the 1960s reflected innovations in retailing and styles. New "visual front" storefront typologies were developed, catering to a range of commercial establishments. Storefronts that showcased smaller goods such as jewelry, for example, were far different from storefronts for banks, barbers, or bars. Components of the retail streetscape – paving, signage, plantings, canopies, and vestibules – also figured prominently in attracting attention to storefronts. In San Francisco several companies, including National Store Fixture (2750 19th Street) and Regal Manufacturing Co. (1306 Fulton Street), designed modern store fixtures and entire storefronts for local businesses.

Aggressive marketing campaigns by manufacturers, including Libbey-Owens-Ford (LOF) produced copious catalogs and advertisements marketing these new storefront designs. LOF’s 1945 catalog “Visual Fronts” promoted large expanses of glass in order to reduce the barrier between pedestrians and the goods displayed inside. Numerous books published after the war, including those by well-known figures George Nelson, Morris Ketchum and Victor Gruen, stressed the four objectives of a storefront: identify the store by name or by the character of goods it sold; display the goods in a way that would create the urge to buy; and have an attractive entrance that would entice a customer to come in. The boundary between inside and outside was so amorphous that the customer was actually drawn into the store without even knowing it.

In early 1950, a mobile caravan of model storefronts began a three-month tour of major western cities. The model stores, developed by Pittsburgh Plate Glass, featured twelve one-eighth scale model storefronts that could serve as basic designs for architects and builders. Highlighted were "Open-front" storefronts, which put the entire street-level merchandising area on display. The caravan manager stated, “Architects throughout the nation are becoming increasingly conscious that ‘display’ is one of the most important words in any merchant’s vocabulary. Display of the entire merchandising area on the street level is what the merchant wants. And it’s what he gets in the ‘open-front’ type of store.”

---

The evolution of this “open-front” storefront that allowed a customer to see directly into the store was felt to be a “logical consequence of modernist principles of functional expression and transparency” and blurred the boundary between inside and outside. Transparent, functionally expressive, designed from the inside out, it perfectly referenced the modernist objective of a building.41

Wright made a conscious choice not to use the principles of contemporary storefront design in the V.C Morris Store. Rather, he wanted to create an emotional sequence for the passerby. The building presents a big blank wall of Roman brick that arrests the shopper in contrast to its showy neighbors. Without an open front, the contents of the interior are a total mystery. The half brick, half glass tunneled arched entry was half inside and half outside and offered just a tantalizing glimpse of the interior and left the passerby with the anticipation of a surprise. Once inside the entrance vault the passerby is accepts the invitation to enter the shop and on the inside finds “a world of undreamed fantasy”42 entirely removed from the pedestrian world outside the door.

On the interior, Wright chose to ignore every rule of modern merchandising. Rather than a free flow, open plan for the interior of the shop, the spiral ramp shapes the interior into sharply defined retail areas. At that time, lighting was considered to be a crucial component of merchandising. The illumination in the shop was indefinable and atmospheric. Even the display technique of the shop was seen as unorthodox. Instead of displaying an abundance of goods for sale, most repetitious articles were stored out of sight, and visible merchandize treated as part of the architecture. Vere Morris said the integrity and beauty of the building, silently and insistently discarded anything unworthy, demanding that each article shown in the store had the same inherent beauty and integrity. 43

The façade of the V. C. Morris Gift shop design flew in the face of modern storefront design. Wright had previously designed a characteristically open-front shop in Oak Park in 1937. The design for the V. C. Morris Gift Shop represents a rejection of the principles he had once followed. When Vere Morris saw the design and worried about the lack of visibility, Wright responded, “We are not going to dump your beautiful merchandise on the street but create an arch-tunnel of glass, into which the passers-by may look and be enticed. As they penetrate further into the entrance, seeing the shop inside with its spiral ramp and tables set with fine china and crystal, they will suddenly push open the door, and you’ve got them!” Wright deliberately masked and concealed the internal structure, its space and function. However, once inside, one discovers the “top-lit building-within-a-building and the transformative effect of movement on the spatial form.”44

The V. C. Morris Shop is an unusual design for Wright as it does not reflect his desire for an honest expression of a building’s structural frame. Wright followed the Modern belief that the exterior of a building should express the interior, which can be seen in his design for the Guggenheim Museum (completed in 1959). However, the V. C. Morris Shop is a building within a building and its blank façade on a boxy building gives no indication of the spiral form on its interior. Mark Anthony Wilson writes in Frank Lloyd Wright on the West Coast that there “is no doubt that the Morris Shop served as a working prototype for the Guggenheim Museum; a trial run done on a much smaller scale.”45

Early on in his career Wright was “obsessed with the twin concepts of continuity and plasticity.” During World War II, Wright explored and expanded on his ideas of continuity and plasticity of space and structure. As Peter Blake

---

41 Ibid.
42 Architectural Forum.
43 Architectural Forum.
45 Mark Anthony Wilson, Frank Lloyd Wright on the West Coast (Layton, Utah: Gibbs Smith, 2014), 167.
notes, “more and more often, Wright got away from straight-lined architecture all together; his module – if that is the word – became a circle, rather than a triangle or polygon…and his favorite structural shape became the spiral or snail. While this circle pattern remained in Wright’s work and dominated it throughout his final years, \(^{46}\) the V. C. Morris Gift Shop is Wright’s first building to be constructed with this central theme.

In the V. C. Morris Gift Shop, Wright explored the possibilities of space in motion up and down, as well as sideways; the excitement inherent in changing levels; in light appearing through skylights from above and progression through architecture involving not only turns and twists, right and left, but ascents and descents as well. This is very different from his early buildings where Wright had felt that his designs of space should be a horizontally moving entity, always controlled in layers parallel to the earth. \(^ {47}\)

The Romanesque arched entrance on the exterior of the building makes historical references to H.H. Richardson’s Glessner House in Chicago (1885-97) and Louis Sullivan’s small downtown banks, such as the one in Owatonna Minnesota (1906-1908). It was also the first time Wright had used this design motif in five decades. The Francisco Terrace Apartments in Chicago (1895, demolished 1971) and some of his Prairie Houses such as the Frank W. Thomas House in Oak Park, Illinois (1901), and the Francis and Mary Little House in Peoria, Illinois (1902) had been designed with arches, however he not included arches in his designs since establishing Taliesin in 1911. As Paul Turner notes, “the shop became one of Wright's favorite buildings, which he often illustrated in his publications and included in exhibitions of his work...”\(^ {48}\)

---


\(^{47}\) Peter Blake, *Three Master Builders*, 355.

\(^{48}\) Paul Turner, *Frank Lloyd Wright and the Bay Area*, unpublished manuscript.
Left: Chicago Auditorium Theater; Adler & Sullivan, architects (1889). The Auditorium was Wright’s principal assignment in Adler & Sullivan’s office for a year or more and made an enormous impression on him. Source: Historic American Buildings Survey, Library of Congress, 1987


KEARNY-MARKET-MASON-SUTTER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

The Kearny-Market-Mason-Sutter District covers a large area. Individual streets within the district have unique histories which have often changed dramatically over time. These changing land-use patterns were in part determined by the movement of high-quality retail stores. Throughout the years, the closing or movement of larger department stores has often provided new space for smaller stores, and has strongly influenced their locations. The best known stores of the retail district were located on Kearny Street in the 1870's and 1880's. The growth of the City, due in part to the introduction of cable car service, led to the movement of the retail district towards both Market Street and the Grant Avenue/Union Square area. Beginning in the 1880’s, department stores such as the Emporium and Hale Brothers opened large stores on Market Street. However, the large width of Market Street and its distance from high income residential neighborhoods on Nob Hill hindered its further development as a high class retail district. By the 1920’s, Market Street had become San Francisco’s family shopping street.

The prominence of the Grant Avenue/Union Square retail area as an exclusive shopping district was assured when I. Magnin (originally on Third Street) moved from Market Street to the corner of Grant Avenue and Geary Street. The location of the City of Paris at the corner of Geary and Stockton Streets across from Union Square firmly established Union Square as the most desirable location in the retail district. Since the 1920's, Lower Grant Avenue and the Union Square area have been the City’s premier shopping district.

The pattern of development is one of dense, small-scaled buildings predominantly four to eight stories in height. The District is further defined by the location of Union Square in its heart. The character of the area is determined by the many fine quality structures, and supported by a number of contributory buildings. Since the entire area was built in less than 20 years, and the major portion in less than 10 years, buildings were constructed in similar styles and structural technology. Perhaps even more importantly, architects were of like backgrounds, schooled in the classical Beaux Arts tradition.

Much of the retailing area’s vitality is attributable to its physical character. The mix of shops and unique buildings is not duplicated in suburban shopping malls, and, because of this, the area attracts shoppers from around the Bay Area. The prevailing architectural character is an important legacy from the Beaux Arts tradition and contains many fine examples of commercial architecture.

49 Adapted from Appendix E to Article 11 Kearny-Market-Mason-Sutter Conservation District, San Francisco Planning Code.
Maiden Lane

Maiden Lane is a two block street, more of a mews than a street, that begins in the middle of one end of Union Square and runs from Stockton to Kearny in between Geary and Post. The block on which the V. C. Morris Building is located bisects the block between Post Street, Grant Avenue, Geary Street and Stockton Street. In 1856 the street was called St. Mark’s Place. In 1869 it became Morton Street. At that time Morton was mostly a residential street, lined with small, one and two-story cottages used as “female boarding houses” or brothels and cribs. 140 Maiden Lane was occupied by two such cottages and a coal yard was located on the adjacent parcel to the west. Saloons and dance halls were located just a few streets away. Two murders and a suicide on the street in the early months of 1896 led to Police Chief Patrick Crowley ordering all prostitutes out by midnight on March 3, 1896. This didn’t stop crime, as another murder and an assault took place just days later. In 1898 it was renamed Union Square Avenue. By 1904 it was again renamed, this time it became Manila Street. The 1906 earthquake and fire, which leveled much of the city, reduced most of the street to rubble with only a few structures still standing. By 1913 the street name was still Manila and it was lined with two- to four-story shops, restaurants and warehouses, most of “fire proof” brick or concrete construction. The neighborhood was fully built out by this time and there appears to be no changes to the street over the years other than changes in businesses. Then in 1921, the City inexplicably switched its name back to Union Square Avenue, after the street became the service entrance for newly opened department stores on Geary and Post streets. Gradually the back alley doors became entrances to restaurants and cocktail bars serving the shop girls who worked in the department stores.

---

50 Jerry F. Schimmel, “100 Years Ago: The Night They Expelled Maiden Lane’s Harlots,” San Francisco Examiner, March 1, 1996.
51 Mary Duenwald, “Maiden Lane: from red lights to daffodils,” The Pacific, July 1980.
In 1922 merchants led by jeweler Albert Samuels lobbied for the name to be changed to Maiden Lane after the famous street of jewelers in London and New York. The merchants obviously failed to see the irony of the new name. Sometime in the early 1930s, florist Sheridan & Bell received 2000 more daffodils than he had originally ordered. The florist gave the surplus to his neighbors who proceeded to decorate their own windows and give the remainder to their customers. In later years, the merchants agreed to help finance a Daffodil Festival so that they could have more flowers along with entertainers and singers. Despite the festival, the street’s dubious reputation continued until the late 1930s when the Morrices leased the shop. Clara Kenyon, a saleswoman at the shop, remembered its reputation even at that time. After World War II, Maiden Lane’s reputation finally changed. The street became widely known for the annual street festival, now renamed “Spring Comes to Maiden Lane.” In response to the popularity of the festival, the merchants on Maiden Lane began to remodel and improve their shops, and formed a merchants association, the Maiden Lane Association, and collected dues to fund street improvements, such as trees and benches. In 1956 a City ordinance was passed by the Board of Supervisors permitting the street to be closed to traffic Monday through Saturday between 11:30am and 2:00pm for a pedestrian promenade. Also around that time, the City paid for extension of the curb line, widening the sidewalks. The Maiden Lane Association had Welton, Becket and Associates design lamp standards and paid for their installation. The Association also had Donald Clever and Associates design eight candelabra that were installed on the eight corners of Maiden Lane. The spring festival continued until the mid-1960s. By that time Maiden Lane had emerged as an exclusive retail address. Today lane contains a number of relatively tall buildings interspersed with two- and three-story shop buildings and is still lined with upscale retail shops.

---


53 Letter to Mr. David Rowlands, University Development Council, Seattle WA from James J. Ludwig, Maiden Lane Association, February 16, 1972. Maiden Lane file, San Francisco Public Library.
ARTICLE 10 LANDMARK DESIGNATION
This section of the report is an analysis and summary of the applicable criteria for designation, integrity, period of significance, significance statement, character-defining features, and additional Article 10 requirements.

CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION
Criteria
Check all criteria applicable to the significance of the property that are documented in the report. The criteria checked are the basic justification for why the resource is important.

___ Association with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history.
___ Association with the lives of persons significant in our past.
X Embody distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction.
___ Has yielded or may be likely to yield information important in history or prehistory.

STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE
Characteristics of the Landmark that justify its designation:

Significant Architecture
Completed well before the Guggenheim opened in 1959, the V. C. Morris Gift Shop was Wright’s first realized exploration of the internal spiral concept the two share and which he frequently returned to in his later work. Its single bold arch on the façade, reminiscent of H.H. Richardson and Louis Sullivan, provides a basis for the increase in historical referencing which would undergird his most successful buildings of the fifties. And upon its completion, it electrified the architectural world not only for its architecture, but for its radical interpretation of a retail store. Wright’s unconventional design for the V. C. Morris Shop was a rejection of the formal principles of store design, yet it inspired and gave direction to subsequent 20th century building. As the only building constructed in San Francisco by Frank Lloyd Wright, the V. C. Morris Gift Shop is also significant as a rare extant Modern building designed by the master architect.

Period of Significance
The Period of Significance for 140 Maiden Lane is 1948-1959. This represents the year the remodel was designed and completed, to the year of Lillian Morris’s death. These ten years mark the intense use of the building by the Morrices for which it was designed - the display and sale of modern silver, glass, china and linens, with a separate department for books and fine prints. These items were treated as part of the architecture, a display technique that was unorthodox at the time. It is also within the time period when new “visual front” storefront typologies were developed and widely used, and which Wright chose to reject in his design for the V. C. Morris Gift Shop.

Integrity
The seven aspects of integrity are location, design, materials, workmanship, setting, feeling, and association in relation to the period of significance established above. Cumulatively, the building retains sufficient integrity to convey its expressive Modern architectural design by master architect Frank Lloyd Wright.
Location, Feeling, Setting, Association
The building was originally constructed at its current location in 1911. The building has not been moved. Maiden Lane is a narrow, two block long street that is more like a mews. With its two-story mass, the V. C. Morris Building is set between two relatively tall buildings and the rest of the street is interspersed with two- and three-story buildings. The street is still home to upscale shops and hotels in the district. With its nearly flat, windowless façade, the exterior of the building retains its feeling of a solid wall. The interior of the building retains its light filled, circular inner volume with curved ramp giving one the feeling of entering another world. As a result, the V. C. Morris Building retains its location, feeling, setting, and association.

Design, Materials, Workmanship
The V. C. Morris Gift Shop retains the design features that were present during the established 1948-1959 Period of Significance. Prominent exterior design features and materials include the building’s boxy, stout mass, nearly flat, windowless façade, vertical band of raised bricks with illuminated voids, arched opening with four concentric bands of stretcher course bricks, horizontal band of coping above white translucent squares with raised key design, recessed entry with curved glass tunnel, and buff colored stretcher brick. The interior, likewise, displays high integrity of design, materials and workmanship. The interior retains its two-story volume, curved interior walls, and spiral ramp with circular wall openings and niches, and acrylic plastic concave and convex domes held by brass tubing at ceiling. Historic interior finishes such as the rough textured wall concrete cladding and rectangular and square concrete slabs laid in an irregular pattern on floor; and historic interior fixtures such as the brass hanging planter, built in mahogany shelves, cabinets, and benches; and some furniture such as mahogany stools and tables are also extant. Although the interior underwent restoration in 1997, extant materials and design reflect the quality of construction, materials, and workmanship as evidenced by Wrights beautifully detailed drawings. This restoration appears to retain nearly all of its original Wright designed features and do not detract from the building’s significance or design intent. As a result, the V. C. Morris Gift Shop retains integrity of design, materials, and workmanship.
BOUNDARIES OF THE LANDMARK SITE
Encompassing all of and limited to Lot 019 in Assessor’s Block 0309 on the north side of Maiden Lane, between Stockton Street and Grant Avenue.

CHARACTER-DEFINING FEATURES
Whenever a building, site, object, or landscape is under consideration for Article 10 Landmark designation, the Historic Preservation Commission is required to identify character-defining features of the property. This is done to enable owners and the public to understand which elements are considered most important to preserve the historical and architectural character of the proposed landmark. The character-defining features of the V. C. Morris Building are listed below.

The character-defining exterior features of the building are identified as the exterior elevation facing Maiden Lane, including but not limited to form, massing, structure, architectural ornament and materials identified as:

- Rectangular building plan and boxy, stout massing
- Nearly flat, windowless façade
- Vertical band of raised bricks with illuminated voids
- Arched opening with four concentric bands of stretcher course bricks
- White translucent squares with raised key design below horizontal band of coping
- Recessed barrel vaulted entry with curved glass and planter
- Buff colored stretcher brick cladding
- Flat roof with two ridge type skylights

The character-defining interior features of the building are identified as:

- Two-story volume
- Curved interior walls
- Recessed barrel vaulted entry with curved glass and display shelf
- Spiral ramp with circular wall openings and niches
- Acrylic plastic concave and convex domes held by brass tubing at ceiling
- Brass hanging planter
- Built in mahogany shelves, cabinets, and benches
- Rough textured concrete wall cladding
- Rectangular and square concrete floor slabs laid in an irregular pattern

Interior Landmark Designation
According to Article 10, Section 1004(c) of the Planning Code, only those interiors that were historically publicly accessible are eligible for listing in Article 10. Article 10, Section 1004(c) of the Planning Code states,

(c) The property included in any such designation shall upon designation be subject to the controls and standards set forth in this Article 10. In addition, the said property shall be subject to the following further controls and standards if imposed by the designating ordinance:

1. For a publicly-owned landmark, review of proposed changes to significant interior architectural features.
2. For a privately-owned landmark, review of proposed changes requiring a permit to significant interior architectural features in those areas of the landmark that are or historically have been accessible to members of the public. The designating ordinance must clearly describe each significant interior architectural feature subject to this restriction.

Although privately owned, the V. C. Morris Gift Shop interior has historically been accessible to members of the public. As first a retail store for fine tablewares and later a women’s clothing store and gallery, the public entered the interior of the building on a regular basis to shop.
PROPERTY INFORMATION

**Historic Name:** V. C. Morris Building

**Address:** 140 Maiden Lane

**Block and Lot:** 0309 / 019

**Owner:** Marsha Vargas Handley

**Original Use:** Commercial store

**Current Use:** Commercial store

**Zoning:** C-3-R Downtown Retail
APPENDIX: MORRIS HOUSE DESIGNS

In 1945 Lillian and Vere commissioned Wright to design a house for them on two lots they had purchased on El Camino Del Mar, located in the Sea Cliff neighborhood of San Francisco. Overlooking China Beach and the Pacific Ocean, the house was to be constructed of reinforced concrete and seemingly grow out of the cliff on a slender tapering semi-tubular form that gradually became large enough to support the main living part of the house at the top of the cliff. An opening as the bottom of the tapering column allowed the sound of crashing waves to enter the hollow structure. From the ocean view it would have looked like a large conch shell attached to the cliff face. From the road, one would first see the flowers and vines lined the approach front door. A concrete slab cantilevered over the living room was designed to be planted with a green roof of hanging vines and shade trees. The roof garden stretched all the way to the carport and sheltered a long walkway to the entrance loggia of the house. There a circular open skylight in the roof garden and a light well below brought daylight to the lower levels of the house. The design was described as Wright’s tour de force in terms of site and structure; with the steep grade of the site giving Wright numerous opportunities for the free organization of space and for bold experiments in the use of geometric forms including circles, squares, and triangles.54

The first scheme proved to be too costly for the Morris’ to build and in 1955, they requested a simpler design. This time the house was sited further down the cliff closer to the water. It was accessed from the street by an elevator and spiral stairs housed in a tall, tower like mass. The house was one level with a large circular living room opening to a broad balcony flanked by smaller circular masses for the dining room, bedrooms, and bathrooms. The entire mass was supported by a concrete pedestal. The site plan shows two additional houses: “House 2” was designed as a guest house, but eventually was suggested to take the place of the main house when costs became a concern. It is unknown what the third house was to be used for; no drawings exist for it. In 1957, Wright designed a third and final design for the Sea Cliff site with a single, two level building with circular elements placed closer to the main road, but still sited on a steep incline. Unfortunately, Wright’s Sea Cliff designs were never realized.

In 1956, Wright desinged a house in Stinson Beach for the Morrises. Unlike the steep Seacliff site, “Quietwater” as Wright named the house, was sited on a flat, sandy beach. The single story, elongated plan included a carport and utility room at right angles to it. The living room and master bedroom overlooking the beach were separated by the entry. Adjacent to the living room was a guest room with fireplace. A housekeeper’s suite and a place for the white Persian cats that lived at the shop was included in the plan. The house was to be constructed of simple materials; concrete blocks with a roof covered in cedar shingles. Vere died during the preparation of the working drawings and Lillian died a two years later leaving the construction of Quietwater unexecuted.
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RESOLUTION TO INITIATE AMENDMENT TO ARTICLE 10 LANDMARK DESIGNATION FOR 140 MAIDEN LANE, HISTORICALLY KNOWN AS THE V. C. MORRIS GIFT SHOP, LOT 019 IN ASSESSOR’S BLOCK 0309.

1. WHEREAS, on February 5, 1975, Ordinance No. 22-75 designated the exterior features of 140 Maiden Lane as Landmark No. 72; and

2. WHEREAS, Department staff Shannon Ferguson, who meets the Secretary of Interior’s Professional Qualification Standards, prepared the Landmark Designation Report for 140 Maiden Lane which was reviewed by Department staff Timothy Frye for accuracy and conformance with the purposes and standards of Article 10; and

3. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that both the exterior and interior character defining features of 140 Maiden Lane are significant for its modern architecture and as a rare extant building designed by master architect Frank Lloyd Wright; and

4. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that 140 Maiden Lane meets the eligibility requirements per Section 1004 of the Planning Code and warrants consideration for amendment to Article 10 landmark designation to include both the interior and exterior character defining features; and

5. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that the boundaries and the list of character-defining features, as identified in the Department’s Case Report, should be considered for preservation under the proposed amendment to the landmark designation, as they relate to the building’s historical significance and retain historical integrity.

RESOLVED, that the Historic Preservation Commission hereby initiates an amendment to Article 10 landmark designation for 140 Maiden Lane, Assessor’s Block 0309, Lot 019, pursuant to Section 1004.1 of the Planning Code.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Historic Preservation Commission at its meeting on May 4, 2016.

Jonas P. Ionin
Commission Secretary

www.sfplanning.org
Resolution No. 761
May 4, 2016

V. C. Morris Gift Shop
140 Maiden Lane
Initiation of Article 10 Landmark Designation Amendment


NAYS: None

ABSENT: None

ADOPTED: May 4, 2016
RESOLUTION TO RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AMENDMENT TO ARTICLE 10 LANDMARK DESIGNATION OF 140 MAIDEN LANE, HISTORICALLY KNOWN AS THE V. C. MORRIS GIFT SHOP, LOT 019 IN ASSESSOR’S BLOCK 309.

1. WHEREAS, on February 5, 1975, Ordinance No. 22-75 designated the exterior features of 140 Maiden Lane as Landmark No. 72; and

2. WHEREAS, Department staff Shannon Ferguson, who meets the Secretary of Interior’s Professional Qualification Standards, prepared the Landmark Designation Report for 140 Maiden Lane which was reviewed by Department staff Timothy Frye for accuracy and conformance with the purposes and standards of Article 10; and

3. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission, at its regular meeting of May 4, 2016, reviewed Department staff’s analysis of 140 Maiden Lane’s historical significance per Article 10 as part of the Landmark Designation Case Report dated May 4, 2016 and initiated amendment to the landmark designation to include both the interior and exterior character defining features through Resolution 761; and

4. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that the 140 Maiden Lane designation report is in the form prescribed by the Historic Preservation Commission and contains supporting historic, architectural, and/or cultural documentation; and

5. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that both the exterior and interior of 140 Maiden Lane is significant for its architecture and as the work of master architect Frank Lloyd Wright; and

6. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that 140 Maiden Lane meets the eligibility requirements per Section 1004 of the Planning Code and warrants consideration for amending Article 10 landmark designation; and

7. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that the boundaries and the list of character-defining features, as identified in the Department’s Case Report dated May 4, 2016, should be considered for preservation under the proposed landmark designation as they relate to the building’s historical significance and retain historical integrity.
8. WHEREAS, the proposed designation is consistent with the General Plan priority policies pursuant to Planning Code section 101.1 and furthers Priority Policy No. 7, which states that historic buildings be preserved, for reasons set forth in the May 4, 2016 Case Report; and

9. WHEREAS, the Department has determined that landmark designation is exempt from environmental review, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15308 (Class Eight - Categorical); and

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Historic Preservation Commission hereby recommends to the Board of Supervisors approval of the landmark designation amendment of 140 Maiden Lane, Assessor’s Block 309, Lot 019 pursuant to Article 10 of the Planning Code.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Historic Preservation Commission at its meeting on June 1, 2016.

Jonas P. Ionin
Commission Secretary


NAYS: None

ABSENT: None

ADOPTED: June 1, 2016
On May 4, 2016, the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) adopted Resolution No. 761 to initiate Article 10 landmark designation amendment of 140 Maiden Lane, known historically as the V. C. Morris Gift Shop, to include both exterior and interior character defining features. Under Article 10, initiation and recommendation are two distinct steps of the landmark designation process which require separate hearings and resolutions.

Since the May 4, 2016 hearing, Department Staff has refined the character defining features. Those refinements are highlighted in the attached ordinance.

Attached is a draft Resolution to recommend approval to the Board of Supervisors amendment to the designation of 140 Maiden Lane, the V. C. Morris Gift Shop, under Article 10 of the Planning Code, Section 1004.1. The Planning Department recommends adopting this Resolution.

**ATTACHMENTS:**
- Draft Resolution
- Draft Designation Ordinance
- Draft Landmark Designation Report
- May 4, 2016 Case Report
- Resolution 761
Landmark Designation
Case Report

**Hearing Date:** May 4, 2016
**Case No.:** 2015-007181OTH
**Project Address:** 140 Maiden Lane
**Zoning:** C-3-R Downtown-Retail
**Block/Lots:** 0309/019
**Property Owner:** Downtown Properties
550 Montgomery Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94111
**Staff Contact:** Shannon Ferguson – (415) 575-9074
shannon.ferguson@sfgov.org
**Reviewed By:** Tim Frye – (415) 575-6822
tim.frye@sfgov.org

**PROPERTY DESCRIPTIONS & SURROUNDING LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT**

140 Maiden Lane, historically known as the V. C. Morris Gift Shop is located on the north side of Maiden Lane between Stockton Street and Grant Avenue. 140 Maiden Lane is a two-story, wood frame and brick building with a rectangular plan and boxy massing. Originally constructed in 1911, the building was remodeled by master architect Frank Lloyd Wright in 1948-49. The attached Landmark Designation Report contains a detailed building descriptions on pages 4-5.

The subject property is located in the Kearny-Market-Mason-Sutter Conservation District. Maiden Lane is a two block street, more of a mews than a street, that begins in the middle of one end of Union Square and runs from Stockton to Kearny in between Geary and Post. The block on which the 140 Maiden Lane is located bisects the block between Post Street, Grant Avenue, Geary Street and Stockton Street. Maiden Lane contains a number of relatively tall buildings interspersed with two- and three-story shop buildings and is lined with upscale retail shops.

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The exterior of the 140 Maiden Lane was designated as San Francisco City Landmark No. 72 in 1975. The case before the Historic Preservation Commission is the consideration to initiate amendment to the landmark designation to include the interior of 140 Maiden Lane under Article 10 of the Planning Code, Section 1004.1 and Section 1004.6 and recommending that the Board of Supervisors approve of such amendment to the designation.
ENVIROMENTAL REVIEW STATUS

The Planning Department has determined that actions by regulatory agencies for protection of the environment (specifically in this case, landmark designation) are exempt from environmental review, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15308 (Class Eight - Categorical).

GENERAL PLAN POLICIES

The Urban Design Element of the San Francisco General Plan contains the following relevant objectives and policies:

| OBJECTIVE 2: | Conservation of Resources that provide a sense of nature, continuity with the past, and freedom from overcrowding. |
| POLICY 4: | Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development. |

Designating significant historic resources as local landmarks will further continuity with the past because the buildings will be preserved for the benefit of future generations. Landmark designation will require that the Planning Department and the Historic Preservation Commission review proposed work that may have an impact on character-defining features. Both entities will utilize the Secretary of Interior’s Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties in their review to ensure that only appropriate, compatible alterations are made.

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1 – GENERAL PLAN CONSISTENCY AND IMPLEMENTATION

Planning Code Section 101.1 – Eight Priority Policies establishes and requires review of permits for consistency with said policies. On balance, the proposed designation is consistent with the priority policies in that:

a. The proposed amendment to the designation will further Priority Policy No. 7, that landmarks and historic buildings be preserved. Amendment of the landmark designation to include both the exterior and interior of 140 Maiden Lane will help to preserve an important historical resource that is significant as a rare extant Modern building designed by master architect Frank Lloyd Wright.

BACKGROUND / PREVIOUS ACTIONS

The exterior of the V. C. Morris Gift Shop located at 140 Maiden Lane was designated as San Francisco City Landmark No. 72 in 1975. The designation extends to the exterior features of the building only.

OTHER ACTIONS REQUIRED
If the Historic Preservation Commission decides to initiate amendment to the Article 10 landmark designation of the subject property, the item will be considered again by the Historic Preservation Commission at a subsequent hearing. At that time the Historic Preservation Commission may adopt a resolution recommending that the Board of Supervisors support the amendment to the designation. The nomination would then be considered at a future Board of Supervisors hearing for formal Article 10 landmark designation.

APPLICABLE PRESERVATION STANDARDS

ARTICLE 10

Section 1004 of the Planning Code authorizes the landmark designation of an individual structure or other feature or an integrated group of structures and features on a single lot or site, having special character or special historical, architectural or aesthetic interest or value, as a landmark. Section 1004.1 also outlines that landmark designation may be initiated by the Board of Supervisors or the Historic Preservation Commission and the initiation shall include findings in support. Section 1004.2 states that once initiated, the proposed designation is referred to the Historic Preservation Commission for a report and recommendation to the Board of Supervisors to approve, disapprove or modify the proposal.

Pursuant to Section 1004.3 of the Planning Code, if the Historic Preservation Commission approves the designation, a copy of the resolution of approval is transmitted to the Board of Supervisors and without referral to the Planning Commission. The Board of Supervisors shall hold a public hearing on the designation and may approve, modify or disapprove the designation.

In the case of the initiation of a historic district, the Historic Preservation Commission shall refer its recommendation to the Planning Commission pursuant to Section 1004.2(c). The Planning Commission shall have 45 days to provide review and comment on the proposed designation and address the consistency of the proposed designation with the General Plan, Section 101.1 priority policies, the City’s Regional Housing Needs Allocation, and the Sustainable Communities Strategy for the Bay Area. These comments shall be sent to the Board of Supervisors in the form of a resolution.

Section 1004(b) requires that the designating ordinance approved by the Board of Supervisors shall include the location and boundaries of the landmark site, a description of the characteristics of the landmark which justify its designation, and a description of the particular features that should be preserved.

Section 1004.4 states that if the Historic Preservation Commission disapproves the proposed designation, such action shall be final, except upon the filing of a valid appeal to the Board of Supervisors within 30 days.

ARTICLE 10 LANDMARK CRITERIA

The Historic Preservation Commission on February 4, 2009, by Resolution No. 001, adopted the National Register Criteria as its methodology for recommending landmark designation of historic resources. Under the National Register Criteria, the quality of significance in American history, architecture,
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archaeology, engineering, and culture is present in districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects that possess integrity of location, design, setting, feeling, materials, workmanship, and association, and that are associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history; or that are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past; or that embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; or properties that have yielded, or may likely yield, information important in prehistory or history.

PUBLIC / NEIGHBORHOOD INPUT

There is no known public or neighborhood opposition to designation of 140 Maiden Lane as an Article 10 landmark. Supervisor Peskin has expressed his support for amendment to the designation. The Department will provide any public correspondence received after the submittal of this report in the Historic Preservation Commission’s correspondence folder.

PROPERTY OWNER INPUT

The Planning Department has shared the designation report with the property owner and informed them of possible landmark initiation. The property owner is supportive of landmark designation.

STAFF ANALYSIS

The case report and analysis under review was prepared by Department preservation staff. The Department has determined 140 Maiden Lane meets the requirements for Article 10 eligibility as an individual landmark. The justification inclusion is outlined below under the Significance and Integrity sections of this case report.

SIGNIFICANCE

Completed well before the Solomon R. Guggenheim Museum opened in 1959, the V. C. Morris Gift Shop was Wright’s first realized exploration of the internal spiral concept the two share and which he frequently returned to in his later work. Its single bold arch on the façade, reminiscent of H.H. Richardson and Louis Sullivan, provides a basis for the increase in historical referencing which would undergird his most successful buildings of the fifties. And upon its completion, it electrified the architectural world not only for its architecture, but for its radical interpretation of a retail store. Wright’s unconventional design for the V. C. Morris Shop was a rejection of the formal principles of store design, yet it inspired and gave direction to subsequent 20th century building. As the only building constructed in San Francisco by Frank Lloyd Wright, the V. C. Morris Gift Shop is also significant as a rare extant Modern building designed by the master architect.

INTEGRITY

140 Maiden Lane was originally constructed at its current location in 1911. The building has not been moved. Maiden Lane is a narrow, two block long street that is more like a mews. With its two-story mass,
140 Maiden Lane is set between two relatively tall buildings and the rest of the street is interspersed with two- and three-story buildings. The street is still home to upscale shops and hotels in the district. With its nearly flat, windowless façade, the exterior of the building retains its feeling of a solid wall. The interior of the building retains its light filled, circular inner volume with curved ramp giving one the feeling of entering another world. As a result, 140 Maiden Lane retains its location, feeling, setting, and association.

140 Maiden Lane retains the design features that were present during the established 1948-1959 Period of Significance. Prominent exterior design features and materials include the building’s boxy, stout mass, nearly flat, windowless façade, vertical band of raised bricks with illuminated voids, arched opening with four concentric bands of stretcher course bricks, horizontal band of coping above white translucent squares with raised key design, recessed entry with curved glass tunnel, and buff colored stretcher brick. The interior, likewise, displays high integrity of design, materials and workmanship. The interior retains its two-story volume, curved interior walls, and spiral ramp with circular wall openings and niches, and acrylic plastic concave and convex domes held by brass tubing at ceiling. Historic interior finishes such as the rough textured wall concrete cladding and rectangular and square concrete slabs laid in an irregular pattern on floor; and historic interior fixtures such as the brass hanging planter, built in mahogany shelves, cabinets, and benches; and some furniture such as mahogany stools and tables are also extant. Although the interior underwent restoration in 1997, extant materials and design reflect the quality of construction, materials, and workmanship as evidenced by Wrights beautifully detailed drawings. This restoration appears to retain nearly all of its original Wright designed features and do not detract from the building’s significance or design intent. As a result, the V.C. Morris Gift Shop retains integrity of design, materials, and workmanship.

CHARACTER-DEFINING FEATURES
Whenever a building, site, object, or landscape is under consideration for Article 10 landmark designation, the Historic Preservation Commission is required to identify character-defining features of the property. This is done to enable owners and the public to understand which elements are considered most important to preserve the historical and architectural character of the proposed landmark.

As identified by Planning Department staff, the character-defining features of the buildings include the following:

The character-defining exterior features of the building are identified as the exterior elevation facing Maiden Lane, including but not limited to form, massing, structure, architectural ornament and materials identified as:

- Rectangular building plan and boxy, stout massing
- Nearly flat, windowless façade
- Vertical band of raised bricks with illuminated voids
- Arched opening with four concentric bands of stretcher course bricks
- White translucent squares with raised key design below horizontal band of coping
- Recessed barrel vaulted entry with curved glass and planter
- Buff colored stretcher brick cladding
- Flat roof with two ridge type skylights

The character-defining interior features of the building are identified as:
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- Two-story volume
- Curved interior walls
- Recessed barrel vaulted entry with curved glass and display shelf
- Spiral ramp with circular wall openings and niches
- Acrylic plastic concave and convex domes held by brass tubing at ceiling
- Brass hanging planter
- Built in mahogany shelves, cabinets, and benches
- Rough textured concrete wall cladding
- Rectangular and square concrete floor slabs laid in an irregular pattern

BOUNDARIES OF THE LANDMARK SITE

The proposed landmark site encompasses Assessor’s Block 0309, Lot 019 on which the subject building is located.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION

Based on the Department’s analysis, 140 Maiden Lane is eligible for amendment to the existing Article 10 Landmark designation as a rare extant Modern building designed by master architect Frank Lloyd Wright. The Department recommends that the Historic Preservation Commission initiate amendment of Article 10 Landmark designation for 140 Maiden Lane.

Under Article 10, The Historic Preservation Commission may recommend approval, disapproval or approval with modifications of the proposed initiation of amendment to 140 Maiden Lane landmark designation. If the Historic Preservation Commission approves initiation, a second hearing will be held to consider whether or not to recommend amendment of the landmark designation to the Board of Supervisors. A copy of the motion of recommendation is then transmitted to the Board of Supervisors, which will hold a public hearing on the designation and may approve, modify or disapprove the designation (Section 1004.4). If the Historic Preservation Commission disapproves the proposed designation, such action shall be final, except upon the filing of a valid appeal to the Board of Supervisors within 30 days (Section 1004.5).

ATTACHMENTS

A. Exhibits
B. Draft Resolution initiating amendment to the designation
C. Landmark Designation Report
D. Draft landmark ordinance
E. Ordinance 22-75
F. Supervisor Peskin Letter
G. Community Support Letter
February 8, 2016

Andrew Wolfram, President, and Members
Historic Preservation Commission
Commission Chambers, Room 400
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Re: Preservation of interior of landmark structure at 140 Maiden Lane

President Wolfram and Commissioners:

On Thursday, February 4, our office had an opportunity to meet with Planning Department Preservation Coordinator Tim Frye and Historic Resources Survey Team Member Shannon Ferguson regarding the landmark structure at 140 Maiden Lane. It is our understanding that the Frank Lloyd Wright building known as the V.C. Morris Gift Shop, which was most recently occupied by Xanadu Gallery, is currently being offered for lease. Depending on the intent of prospective tenants, the interior of this renowned historic edifice could be in danger of demolition or historically inappropriate alteration.

While the exterior of this building was given landmark status in 1974, the interior of the building is not currently protected. But the exterior itself merely hints at the brilliance of the building’s interior, which consists of Romanesque arches and a swooping spiral ramp that set the proverbial mold for Wright’s design of the Guggenheim Museum in Manhattan.

Preservation of the interior of the structure is of the highest priority, and I lend my full support to any and all efforts that can be taken to confer historic designation status to the building’s interior.

Thank you for your consideration,

Aaron Peskin

Cc: Jonas P. Ionin, Secretary, Historic Preservation Commission
    Tim Frye, Preservation Coordinator
    Shannon Ferguson, Historic Resources Survey Team
    John Rahaim, Director, Planning Department
April 22, 2016

Andrew Wolfram, Commission President
San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Wolfram,

I'm writing about the Frank Lloyd Wright building at 140 Maiden Lane — known to architectural historians as the V. C. Morris shop — to support amending its landmark designation to include the interior as well as the exterior.

I taught the history of architecture for many years at Stanford, before retiring, and have done a good deal of research on Wright. My latest book is on Wright's work in the San Francisco Bay Area (scheduled for publication later this year by Yale University Press), and it has a chapter on the Maiden Lane shop and Wright's other designs for Lillian and V. C. Morris.

The V. C. Morris shop is universally recognized as one of Wright's finest buildings — and was also one of the architect's personal favorites. He visited San Francisco frequently throughout the 1950s, and whenever he was here he went to see the shop (according to his Bay Area associate, Aaron Green), and included it in the publications of his work. In fact, he had a large blown-up photograph made, of the building's entryway, which he included in exhibitions, and at one point he placed it behind his drafting table and had himself photographed, as if he were actually in front of the structure. He clearly considered it to be one of his most distinctive works.

One thing that makes this building unusual (and different from most other historic buildings) is that its interior is at least as important — actually more important, in my opinion — than its exterior. Its great spiral ramp, sky-lit ceiling structure, and wood furnishings are all expertly constructed, and form one of the most harmonious and integrated interior spaces in America. Moreover, this interior is significant because of its special relationship with the Guggenheim Museum in New York.
Wright began designing the Guggenheim in 1943, but he kept revising the design, and construction kept being delayed; and it wasn't actually built until the late 1950s. In the meantime, Wright was hired by the Morrises to redesign their shop in San Francisco. Wright normally did not take on remodeling jobs; but in this case he did, because he saw an opportunity (by redesigning the building completely) to explore the main feature of the Guggenheim: a spiral ramp, as the centerpiece of a building used for the display of objects — painting and sculpture in the Guggenheim; fine china, silver, and glassware in the Morris shop. The shop was built in 1949, and was immediately published in journals in America and Europe, and recognized as one of Wright's most exquisite works.

Later, after the death of the Morrises, the shop was used for the sale of other kinds of merchandise, and some changes were made to the interior. Then, in 1997, the building was bought by Raymond Handley, who undertook a thorough restoration of it (conducted by Aaron Green), and it became the shop Xanadu—-and remained in superb condition until its recent sale.

Not long before his death, Wright mentioned to Lillian Morris his concern about what would happen to the building when they were all gone, and she wrote to him, optimistically saying, "Have no apprehension. The building will be kept in its entirety and integrity, whether continuing as a store or as a museum, for which it is known." By then, it had already become a pilgrimage site for lovers of architecture, from around the world — as it still is, today.

This building is not only one of San Francisco's most important architectural treasures, but one of America's. Because its interior (including its furnishings designed by Wright) is at least as significant as its exterior, we must do everything we can to preserve the building "in its entirety and integrity," as Lillian Morris said. I strongly urge the adoption of the proposed amendment to the building's landmark designation.

If you have any questions, or wish additional information from me, please let me know.

Sincerely yours,

Paul V. Turner

Home address: 3728 16th Street
San Francisco, CA 94114
Tel: 415-863-5462
E-mail: pvturner@stanford.edu
Aerial Photo

SUBJECT PROPERTY
*The Sanborn Maps in San Francisco have not been updated since 1998, and this map may not accurately reflect existing conditions.
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DESIGNATING THE V. C. MORRIS BUILDING AS A LANDMARK PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE
CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the V. C. Morris Build-
ing located at 140 Maiden Lane, being Lot 19 in Assessor's Block 507, has a special
character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value,
and that its designation as a landmark will be in furtherance of and in conformance
with the purposes of Article 10 of the City Planning Code and the standards set
forth herein.

(a) Resolution. Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter
II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the V. C. Morris Building is here-
by designated as a landmark, this designation having been duly approved by Resolu-
tion No. 724 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-94-16.

(b) Required Data. The location and boundaries of the landmark site, the
characteristics of the landmark which justify its designation, and the particular
features that should be preserved, described and included in the said Resolution,
are hereby incorporated herein and made a part hereof as though fully set forth.

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
JAN 27, 1975

Ayes: Supervisors Bartaghisia, Prinzip, Fleming, Couple, Scott, Houston, Moun- dur, Milner, Niel-
sor, Roop, Tanaka, von Hamdingen.

Nays: None

Absent: Supervisor

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
FEB 3, 1975

Ayes: Supervisors Bartaghisia, Prinzip, Fleming, Couple, Houston, Moun- dur, Roop, Tanaka, von Hamdingen.

Nays: None

Absent: Supervisor

FRANCIS OSBORN

I hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was duly passed by the Board of Supervisors of the
City and County of San Francisco.

FEB 3, 1975

Approved

90.74.16

90.74.16
SAN FRANCISCO
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
RESOLUTION NO. 7274

WHEREAS, A proposal to designate the V. C. Morris Building at 140 Maiden Lane as a Landmark pursuant to the provisions of Article 10 of the City Planning Code was initiated by the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board on October 23, 1974, and said Advisory Board, after due consideration, has recommended approval of this proposal; and

WHEREAS, The City Planning Commission, after due notice given, held a public hearing on December 5, 1974, to consider the proposed designation and the report of said Advisory Board; and

WHEREAS, The Commission believes that the proposed Landmark has a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value; and that the proposed designation would be in furtherance of and in conformance with the purposes and standards of the said Article 10;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, First, that the proposal to designate the V. C. Morris Building at 140 Maiden Lane as a Landmark pursuant to Article 10 of the City Planning Code is hereby APPROVED, the location and boundaries of the landmark site being as follows:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Maiden Lane distant thereon 183.33 feet from the easterly line of Stockton Street, thence 45 feet 10 inches easterly along the northerly line of Maiden Lane, thence at a right angle northerly for a distance of 60 feet, thence at a right angle westerly for a distance of 45 feet 10 inches, thence at a right angle southerly for a distance of 60 feet to the point of beginning; being Lot 19 in Assessor’s Block 309;

(The west wall, presently being utilized to support the building, is, in fact, on the adjacent lot, and is not included in this designation).

Second, That the special character and special historical, architectural, and aesthetic interest and value of the said Landmark justifying its designation are set forth in the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board Resolution No. 116 as adopted on October 23, 1974, which resolution is incorporated herein and made a part hereof as though fully set forth;

Third, That the said Landmark should be preserved generally in all of its particular exterior features as existing on the date hereof and as described and depicted in the photographs, case report and other material on file in the Department of City Planning Docket LM74.14.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED by the City Planning Commission at its regular meeting of December 5, 1974.

Lynn E. Pio
Secretary

AYES: Commissioners Elliott, Finn, Fleishhacker, Newman, Porter, Ritchie, Rueda

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

PASSED: December 5, 1974
LANDMARKS PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD
V.C. MORRIS BUILDING
Final Case Report - Approved October 23, 1974
140 Maiden Lane

OWNER:
Mr. Anton Margules

LOCATION:
North line of Maiden Lane, 153.33 feet west
of Grant Avenue; Lot 19 in Assessor's Block 309.

BACKGROUND
AND HISTCRY:

Frank Lloyd Wright (1869-1959) was born at Richland Springs, Wisconsin, and attended the University of Wisconsin-Madison where, because no architectural program was available, he studied engineering. His stay at the University was short, possibly less than a year and on leaving in 1887 he went to Chicago where he secured employment as a draftsman in the office of J.L. Silbee, Architect. His term of employment there was relatively short also, for on hearing that the firm of Adler and Sullivan was hiring craftsmen for a major commission, he applied and was hired to work on the Chicago Auditorium. His relationship with Sullivan was that of student and master. In 1893 he established his own firm, nevertheless, throughout his distinguished career following, he was to acknowledge only one architectural mentor: Louis Henri Sullivan, whom he referred to as "Liebemeister."

Wright was no stranger to San Francisco. When once asked by a newspaper reporter what he liked best about San Francisco, Mr. Wright replied, "San Francisco." On yet another occasion he advised the local citizenry that, "Only a city as beautiful as yours could survive what you are doing to it."

Of the approximately 300 extant Wright-designed buildings, only one is located in San Francisco; that is the V.C. Morris Building at 140 Maiden Lane which was constructed in 1948-49. Wright did propose and design other structures for the City both before and after the Morris Building, however, none was ever erected. The first known of these was a skyscraper for the Press Club in 1920. Others include a house (in or near the city) for V.C. Morris in 1945 and, in 1948, a mortuary. In 1949, he presented his most spectacular such offering - a concrete "butterfly" bridge between San Francisco and East Bay. For several weeks a large model of the bridge was displayed at the San Francisco Museum of Art where Wright himself appeared one evening before and overflow audience to explain its design and advocate its construction.

Although Wright's involvement with the V.C. Morris Building would technically be termed an alteration of an existing structure (ca. 1911) as opposed to a new structure, its every visible aspect, whether inside or out, is clearly and unmistakably Frank Lloyd Wright. Upon its completion it electrified the architec-
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atural world not only for its architecture per se, but equally so for its radical interpretation of a retail store.

Regarding the latter, its owners were, nevertheless, delighted for they had described their needs for sales space as "...practical as well as aesthetic; an architectural setting for the display of glass and silver, china, linens and art objects for the contemporary home, and a place where combinations of these accessories can be leisurely assembled and chosen."

Relative to Frank Lloyd Wright and the V.C. Morris Building, Sigfried Giedion, in his book Space, Time and Architecture says:

"The richness of his vision was expressed in immense projects that sometimes got lost in fantasy and eccentricity, such as his scheme for the "Golden Triangle" in downtown Pittsburgh or his opera house in Baghdad. Both of these, probably to Wright's advantage, were never constructed. At the same time he was busy designing a circular building with spiral ramps inside or outside it. The Morris Store in San Francisco was the first interior space of this kind to be created."

And Bruno Zevi, in a commemorative book on Wright states:

"In the V.C. Morris shop in San Francisco, Mr. Wright introduced a new concept of upward curving space, thus endowing this small store with unexpected grandeur."

With reference to the new concept, it is frequently asserted that the Morris store was the seed from which emerged the design for the Guggenheim Museum, 1959, in New York. This appears to be a logical assumption if one considers only the construction dates of both, for the Morris store was completed some eleven years earlier. In actuality, however, both buildings were designed about the same time. Plans for the Guggenheim were published in the Architectural Forum in January 1946 and conceptual sketches appeared three years earlier.

Mr. and Mrs. V.C. Morris operated the store until their deaths in the early 1960's and subsequently, without their aegis, business declined and the store was closed. It was refurbished in 1980 for an art gallery and today is used for the sale of women's clothing. For this chance of use, some of the Wright-designed fixtures were removed and placed in storage; however, prior to this action the current owner catalogued the fixtures and plotted their respective locations on plans for the building to insure their proper replacement in the event of the building's restoration to its original state.
ARCHITECTURE

In contrast to its interior, the exterior of the V.C. Morris Building is very nearly revealed in an initial glance and because of its simple, sophisticated composition, it becomes refreshingly more noticeable, but not obtrusively so, than other structures along Maiden Lane. About 46 feet wide and 32 feet high, it presents a facade of buff colored brick whose focal point is an arched opening in its lower left third. The opening is made more pronounced by four concentric bands of stretcher course bricks following the outline of the opening. Each band is slightly brought forward from its adjacent inner band. The facade brickwork rests on a shallow sill of the same stone which is also used as coping atop the facade, at several brick courses lower, and at the height of the springline of the arch. Where used near the top and at the springline, the coping does not reach to the outer limits of the facade but stops short thereof. These two copings and all brickwork between are brought forward about three inches from the plane of the remaining brick of the facade.

The right side of the arched opening is interrupted in its downward thrust by and rests on, a horizontal extension of the coping, sill and brickwork forming, as it were, a low wall reaching to the midpoint of the arch. At this point the wall turns inward at a right angle for about eleven feet where it terminates at the right side of the doorway into the structure. Originally the horizontal surface area created between the top of this wall and the right side of the arch was treated as raised planting area; currently it is filled with white stone. Directly beneath the full length of this lower coping, there is a series of white plastic translucent squares, each of which features a raised key design. The spacing between the squares is infilled with brick and at night the squares are softly illuminated from within.

The left side of the arch begins its rise at sill level and the stone of the coping is again introduced at springline as if in support of both the outermost brick band embellishing the arch and the left extremity of the raised portion of the facade. In this raised portion, the extreme left brick of alternate courses has been omitted and at night the voids created are also illuminated from behind.

In the cave-like entry created by the arched opening, the left side of the arch from sill to crown is of brick laid in stack bond. From the facade to the doorway each successive stack projects slightly forward into the entryway to create a funnel effect by reducing both the width and the height of the entry. The right side of the entry is occupied by the elevated planting box above which are bands of curved glass. The same width as the stacked brick opposite, the glass bands rise above and over the planter to meet and align with the brick near the crown of the arch.
The recessed wall of the arched entry is entirely glazed except, of course, for that portion which forms the rear of the raised planting area. A door, which provides sole access to the interior, occupies nearly all of the left half of this wall and repeats its shape.

While the attractiveness of the facade in daylight is not to be denied, the nighttime view is found by some to be even more dramatic. The essentially continuous vertical band of light emerging from the openings in the bricks at the left of the raised portion of the facade, and the horizontal, staccato band emitted through the white translucent squares, draw one's vision to, and emphasize, the interior, now more visible through the arched entry than in daylight because of the reversed intensity of lighting.

INTERIOR

The interior of the V.C. Morris Building defies any written description to convey the intelligible arrangement of spatial forms and dominant elements.

The main floor is the primary display and sales area. Here the theme is set by the spiral ramp which most firmly establishes, in a physical sense, the flow of space. At the same time, the two-story void created by it at both levels might be said to define the most identifiable spatial form visible to the public even though at lower level there is a continuous flow of space into the various subordinate sales areas.

The idea or atmosphere of the original interior is vividly conveyed by Elizabeth B. Mock, writing the Architectural Forum of February 1950. She writes:

"Inside he (the visitor) finds release in the world of undreamed fantasy, all gold and gray and white, dominated by a ramp that spirals up like a Jacob's ladder -- or a wave checked in its break -- toward light that filters through a translucent screen of plastic disks and half-bubbles, clustered in brass tubing and suspended beneath skylights. The circular spiral of the ramp is the pervading theme, developed in endless variation: reduced to disk or hole, elongated as cylinder or tube, blown into domes and spheres. Shapes of mass and void become complex, involute, as these basic forms cut through each other in space and light, yet it is all so vigorously organized that the total effect is one of singleness, breadth and peace."
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"The visitor tends to extend his pleasure from the building to the wares displayed in the satiny black walnut cases and the circular wall niches. His transformation into a customer is accomplished with dignity and dispatch.

"The shop is in a way an autobiographical sketch of its architect, from the arch-pierced masonry wall in the grand tradition of Richardson and Sullivan to the spiral ramp of the museum for New York. Yet its glance is not behind but ahead. And if Frank Lloyd Wright is as prophetic here as he has been in the past, we may confidently expect a revival of that half-forgotten, half-remembered element of architecture - the 'Wall.'

ZONING AND SURROUNDING LAND USE:

Zoning is C-3-R, Downtown Retail, in the central business district. The Height and Bulk District is 360-I. Surrounding Land Uses are retail: shops, restaurants, travel related businesses, offices, etc.

RECOGNITION: A plaque affixed to the building by the American Institute of Architects in 1960 states:

This structure is designated by the American Institute of Architects as one of Seventeen Buildings designed by Frank Lloyd Wright to be retained as an example of his contribution to American culture.